Evaluation of olfactory function in migraineurs during the migraine-free period with odor identification test
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Objective: Despite the fact that there are many studies about the osmophobia and migraine, we have very little knowledge about the olfactory abilities of migraineurs during the period between the attacks. So, the aim of this study was to evaluate the olfactory function of migraineurs during the period without headaches with odor identification test.

Methods: Two hundred and ninety healthy people aged 16 to 65 years (152 female, 138 male) and 84 migraine patients aged 18 to 55 years (47 female, 37 male) were studied. The participants with migraine were selected from the patients who applied to headache outpatient clinic for assessment. Participants, appropriate to the study, were physically and neurologically examined in detail and then they were taken to the test room in order to be screened with Short Smell Test Battery of GATA Haydarpaşa (GULTEST).

Results: The mean GULTEST score of the migraine group was less than the healthy group and the difference was significant. The effect of gender, cigarette smoking and disease status (migraine) on GULTEST scores was evaluated by linear regression model. It was found that females had higher odor identification scores than males in both migraineurs and controls. On the other hand, cigarette smoking had a significant negative effect on GULTEST scores. Furthermore, migraine had a significant negative effect on GULTEST scores.

Conclusion: Our study showed that even during the headache-free period, migraineurs might have reduced olfactory abilities.
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Özet

Amaç: Osmophobia ve migren konusunda birçok çalışmanın varlığına rağmen, migren hastalarının atak arasında koku alma yetenekleri hakkındaki çok az bilgiye sahibiz. Bu nedenle bu çalışmanın amacı migren hastalarının başaarsız olmayan dönemde koku tanımlama testi ile koku alma fonksiyonlarının değerlendirilmesidir.

Yöntem: Yaşları 16-65 arasında olan 290 sağlıklı birey (152 kadın, 138 erkek) ve yaşları 18-55 arasında olan 84 migren hastası (47 kadın, 37 erkek) çalışmaya dahil edildi. Migreni olan katıncılar başaarsız poliklinik hastalardan seçildi. Çalışıma uygun olan katılımcılar nörolojik ve fiziksel olarak detaylı bir şekilde değerlendirildi ve daha sonra GATA Haydarpaşa Kısa Koku Test Başyası (GULTEST) ile değerlendirilmesi için test odasına alındı.


Sonuç: Araştırma bu migren hastalarında başaarsız olmadığı dönemlerde dahi koku alma yeteneklerinin azalmaya rağmen göstermiştir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Migren, koku, koku alma bozuklukları, başaarsız.
The existence of migraine has been known for thousands of years and millions of people suffer from migraine symptoms. Migraine is considered to be an episodic primary headache with several combinations of neurologic, gastrointestinal and autonomic features.\textsuperscript{1,2} The lifelong prevalence of migraine in a general population has been estimated to be 16%, whereas there was a significant predominance in females; lifelong prevalence is \textasciitilde 25% in females, and \textasciitilde 8% in males.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Migraine patients have strong sensitivity to smells, resulting in olfactory aura which is an indicator of an approaching migraine episode. As it is named osmophobia, it refers to an increased sensitivity to certain odors during migraine attacks, which could cause avoidance of those odors or aggravation of migrainous symptoms. It occurs in 24.7–47.7\% of adult migraine patients according to previous epidemiological studies, and has been reported to be quite specific for the diagnosis of migraine, especially in the absence of nausea or vomiting.\textsuperscript{3–6}

The olfactory function generally fails in one of three ways. One is an intensity reduction resulting in decreased olfactory sensitivity (hyposmia or anosmia), also called quantitative olfactory disorders.\textsuperscript{7,8} The other two are quality changes with a distortion of the perceived odor, also called qualitative olfactory dysfunction. One type of distortion occurs when inhaled odorants do not have the same smell or odor as remembered (parosmia). The other type of distortion is the perception of an odor (usually unpleasant) when there is no odorant in the environment (phantosmia, hallucination).\textsuperscript{7,8}

There are studies that have described olfactory dysfunction among migraineurs. Olfactory stimuli can precipitate migraine, and olfactory hallucinations can occur as auras of migraines or as part of the symptom complex.\textsuperscript{9–14} Despite many reports linking distorted olfactory perception and migraine, we have very little knowledge about the quantitative olfactory abilities of migraineurs.\textsuperscript{15,16} Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the olfactory functions of migraineurs during the migraine-free period.

**Materials and Methods**

A total of 290 healthy people and 84 migraine patients were included in this case-controlled clinical study. The healthy control group consisted of 152 females and 138 males aged 16 to 65 years whereas the migraine patients group consisted of 47 females and 37 males aged 18 to 55 years. Informed consent was obtained from all participating subjects. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Gülhane Military Medicine Academy (GATA) Haydarpşa Training Hospital.

The patients with migraine were selected from the patients who were following up by the Headache Outpatient Clinic of Neurology Department of GATA Haydarpşa Training Hospital and they were invited to the study by phone calls. Control subjects suffered neither migraines nor other chronic headaches and had no family history of migraine headache. Headaches were diagnosed based on the international classification of headache disorders (ICHD)-II.\textsuperscript{17}

All the subjects were asked to fill a questionnaire form including questions about age, education, smoking cigarette, any known olfactory dysfunction, any operation of nose, recent upper respiratory illness and severe head trauma history. Individuals who answered “yes” to the any of last four questions were not included in the study. Also all the individuals were evaluated with nasal endoscopy by the ear nose throat doctors and individuals with signs of nasal polyposis or rhinosinusitis were not included in the study.

**Psychophysical Testing of Olfactory Function**

Patients, found to be appropriate for the study, were physically and neurologically examined in detail and then they were taken to the test room in order to be screened with Short Smell Test Battery of GATA Haydarpşa (GULTEST). The olfactory test was performed during migraine-free periods. GULTEST was prepared like this: Three layers of first quality blotter paper were cut to fit on the base of Fonart brand air proof pallet containers. Pure odor essences were put in the containers without being diluted by water. Every container’s bottom was marked with a number of odor so that the patients could not see it.

The odor samples used in the GULTEST are presented in Table 1, and these odor samples were well-known by every segment of our society. During the test, every patient was asked to smell an odor for 5 seconds and name it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Odor</th>
<th>Description-Accepted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Banana</td>
<td>Lovely fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mint</td>
<td>Menthol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rose</td>
<td>Lovely flower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lemon</td>
<td>Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chocolate</td>
<td>Cacao</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The odors were selected randomly from the tray. The answers were marked on the answer sheet. During the evaluation of the test, similar naming for the odors; such as instead of “rose” telling “nice flower” or instead of “chocolate” telling “cocoa” were accepted as true answers. For every correct answer, 1 point was recorded to the score section. The patients were not tested consecutively in the same area.

The measurements in the healthy participants allowed us to determine the normal values for GULTEST.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed by SPSS 21.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The normal distribution of considered variables was first evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data were shown as mean±standard deviation for continuous variables and number of cases was used for categorical ones. Demographic data of the subjects were compared by t test or chi-square test. Also the effect of disease status on GULTEST scores was examined with a linear regression model. Correlational analyses were calculated according to Pearson. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

The study was carried out in 374 subjects, and the mean age of the participants was 35.3±1 years, ranging from 16 to 65. Two age and sex matched study groups were established. The first group consisted of healthy people to standardize the GULTEST. And the second group consisted of 84 migraine patients. Also, there were no differences between the groups in terms of education level and cigarette smoking. Table 2 gives the summary of characteristics of each of the variables of interest by groups.

The mean GULTEST score of the migraine group was less than the healthy group and the difference was significant. The effect of gender, cigarette smoking and disease status (migraine) on GULTEST scores was evaluated by linear regression model. It was found that females had higher identification scores than males in both migraineurs and controls (p=0.02). On the other hand, cigarette smoking had a significant negative effect on GULTEST scores (p=0.03). Furthermore, migraine had a significant negative effect on GULTEST scores (p<0.001).

Discussion

The studies to evaluate olfactory function have started from bedside clinical applications and with the developing technology they progressed to a very wide scale, including intracellular recordings, electro-olfactogram examinations, use of odor in qualitative and quantitative EEG, evoked responses and Olfactory Event Related Potential (OERP) recordings. There are numerous ways of assessing the nasal chemosensory performance (e.g., the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test, UPSIT,[14] “Sniffin’ Sticks”[19,20] or measurements of event-related potentials[21]) which has been validated for various countries and populations. However none of these olfactory testing kits were validated in our country.

That’s why in our study, odors which are assumed to be known very well in the Turkish population are used. No differentiation of the odor and unrelated naming have been considered as pathological. In order to detect the GULTEST scores easier a total of 5 odor samples were presented. According to GULTEST scores, the major finding of this current investigation was the significant negative effect of migraine on olfactory function.

There were little data and conflicting publications in the literature about the olfactory abilities of migraine patients. Snyder and Drummond[15] have reported that patients with migraine showed a lower threshold than the control group for sensing a vanillin odor, and Hirsch[16] reported that patients with migraine exhibited a higher threshold for sensing a pyridine odor. Our data support the idea that identification scores were diminished due to migraine.

The olfactory disorders generally known to be associated with migraine are qualitative olfactory dysfunctions;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Healthy group (n=290)</th>
<th>Migraine group (n=84)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>35.5±11.3</td>
<td>34.6±8.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male participants (%)</td>
<td>138 (47.5%)</td>
<td>37 (44%)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school (%)</td>
<td>40 (13.8%)</td>
<td>6 (7.1%)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school (%)</td>
<td>26 (9%)</td>
<td>9 (10.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school (%)</td>
<td>103 (35.5%)</td>
<td>24 (28.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University (%)</td>
<td>121 (41.7%)</td>
<td>45 (53.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>135 (46%)</td>
<td>33 (39%)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GULTEST score</td>
<td>3.4±0.9</td>
<td>2.8±0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GULTEST score (males)</td>
<td>3.3±0.8</td>
<td>2.6±0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GULTEST score (females)</td>
<td>3.46±0.89</td>
<td>2.91±0.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
parosmia

Qualitative olfactory dysfunctions can be classified into central or peripheral depending on their respective origins. Central causes can be related to an area of hyper-functioning brain cells generating this odor perception. This typically lasts only a few seconds. Some individuals with phantosmias have commented that they can feel a phantom odor coming before it actually arrives. This olfactory distortion can exist with epilepsy, migraine, and psychiatric etiologies like schizophrenia, depression, alcoholic psychosis, and olfactory reference syndrome.

Evaluation of the olfactory function in terms of both qualitative and quantitative is becoming more important for diagnosis of neurologic disorders. Decrease in recognition of odor is one of the primary symptoms of olfactory dysfunction such as in Alzheimer’s disease and this dysfunction has been shown by a variety of odor recognition tests. Although there are well developed odors test scales for neurodegenerative disorders, such as dementia, there are only a few studies in the literature which have studied olfactory dysfunction in headache patients. Significant differences between odor identification scores of healthy group and migraine group were observed in our study. However, our study has some limitations. It should be better to group the migraine patients according to the presence of aura. Also the distorted olfactory perception should be studied together with quantitative olfactory disorders.

Conclusion

There are still many things to learn about olfactory dysfunction and migraine. Further knowledge of olfactory pathophysiology and migraine concerning the underlying mechanisms behind the most common forms of olfactory disorders is lacking. For all that, the current investigation showed that the migraine might be significant negative effect on olfactory function.
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